

IN THE MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

ORDER

Re: **Corey W Talcott v City of Kalamazoo**
Docket No. **267641**
L.C. No. **00-305302**

William C. Whitbeck, Chief Judge, acting under MCR 7.203(F)(1) and 7.216(A)(10),
orders:

The claim of appeal is DISMISSED because the order of December 15, 2005, is not a final order as required by MCL 205.753. The statute does not define what constitutes a final order, but instead leaves it to the court to make that definition. The general definition of a final order requires all the rights and liabilities of the parties to be adjudicated. See MCR 7.202(6)(a)(i). In this case, the order is not final because it did not dispose of the original petition filed in the case. Appellant is not without a remedy because MCL 205.753 does allow for the filing of an application for leave to appeal of an order that does not constitute a final order.



A true copy entered and certified by Sandra Schultz Mengel, Chief Clerk, on

JAN 27 2006

Date

Sandra Schultz Mengel
Chief Clerk